## PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Surinder Pal Singh, S/o Sh. Magher Singh, R/o 4444, Ram Nagar, Near 21 No. Phatak, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 473 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Manoj Kumar, PIO-cum-Assistant Architect (Respondent) 97800-28472

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **30.6.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **26.8.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding sealing of shops, buildings, properties from 1.5.2020 to 31.7.2020,</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.10.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As directed at the last hearing on 30.6.2021, the respondent PIO-cum-Assistant Architect, Manoj Kumar, submitted a written explanation for the delay in addressing this RTI application by way of a notarised affidavit. At the last hearing, the respondent had submitted that the register containing the requested information had gone missing and was in the process of being reconstructed from files.
- 3. The respondent PIO now states that the said register has been found and there is no record of any ceiling of shops, buildings, and properties for the period cited in the RTI application. However, a file containing detail of four buildings has been found and a copy of the notings as available in said file have been submitted both to the Commission and to the appellant.
- 4. The Commission however, notes that the single page submitted as information is not attested. The respondent PIO is herewith directed to attest the information in the format stipulated in this Commissions Order of 30.6.2021 and send copies both to the appellant as well as this Commission.

Contd....2

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## Appeal Case No. 473 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

5. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 1.12.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Surinder Pal Singh, S/o Sh. Magher Singh, R/o 4444, Ram Nagar, Near 21 No. Phatak, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cumo/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 482 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Manoj Kumar, PIO-cum-Assistant Architect (Respondent) 97800-28472

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 30.6.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **28.8.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding vehicles used by Municipal Corporation for Guru Nanak Nagar</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.10.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO-cum-Assistant Architect, Manoj Kumar, has, as directed at the last Hearing, submitted a written explanation for delay in addressing this RTI application, by way of a notarised affidavit. He has also submitted a copy of the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 877/BLD-878/BLD Dated 1.4.2021. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and a copy be retained on the file.
- 3. In view of the above there is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner Dunie

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 1.12.2021